

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| 09/599,053 | 06/21/2000 | Rodric C Fan | M-9199US | 6523 |
| 32605 | 7590 10/15/2003 | | EXAMINER · | |
| | RSON KWOK CHEN & | ISSING, GREGORY C | | |
| SAN JOSE, | NOLOGY DRIVE, SUIT CA 95110 | E 220 | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3662 | |
| , | | | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/15/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/599,053 | FAN ET AL. | • |
| • | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Gregory C. Issing | 3662 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the d | correspondence add | lress |
| THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS API Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | a timely filed amendment whi | cation. A proper re | cation in |
| PERIOD FOR RI | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. | See MPEP |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The displayed have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | nsion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in | e fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or | tension fee under (2) as set forth in |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to | because: | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require furth | ner consideration and/or search | (see NOTE below); | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note | · | | |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by ma | terially reducing or | simplifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE: | eling a corresponding number of | finally rejected clai | ms. |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje | ection(s): | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | d be allowable if submitted in a | separate, timely file | ed amendment |
| 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request f application in condition for allowance because: S | | sidered but does N | OT place the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | | to issues which we | ere newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims versions. | | | l and an |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows | S : | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on i | s a)□ approved or b)□ disap | proved by the Exar | miner. |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem | ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | <i>></i> 2 | |
| 10. Other: | | Siegniff | Jung |
| | | Gregory C. Issing Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3662 | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it fails to address claim limitations and the arguments are not convincing since the conditions sensed and available include vehicle location, road conditions, weather, accidents, emergencies, traffic flow and POIs..